arXiv:1206.6772v2 [math.DS] 29 Jun 2012

CORRIGENDUM

L. BOWEN. NONABELIAN FREE GROUP ACTIONS: MARKOV PROCESSES, THE ABRAMOV- ROHLIN FORMULA AND YUZVINSKII'S FORMULA. ERGODIC THEORY DYNAM. SYSTEMS 30 (2010), NO. 6, 1629-1663.

LEWIS BOWEN & YONATAN GUTMAN

1. Introduction

The paper [Bo10c] proved the Rohlin-Abramov addition formula and a version of Yuzvinskii's addition formula for actions of free groups. The proofs have errors. We show here that the proof of the Rohlin-Abramov formula can be fixed with only a few minor modifications. We cannot fix the prove of Yuzvinskii's formula in general. However, in [BG], we prove it under a mild technical condition for skew products with compact totally disconnected groups or compact finite dimensional Lie groups. We conjecture that the formula holds in complete generality. We also show that Proposition 12.1 of [Bo10c] is incorrect and obtain a counterexample.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank the referee for pointing out a much shorter and elegant counterexample than our original counterexample to Proposition 12.1.

2. The Abramov-Rohlin addition formula

[Bo10c, Lemma 9.3] is incorrect because the support of ν is not contained in the image of ϕ in general. However, the proof of [Bo10c, Lemma 9.3] remains correct when $\beta = \alpha^n$ (see justification below). This special case is the only case used to prove [Bo10c, Theorem 9.1] and the Abramov-Rokhlin addition formula [Bo10c, Theorem 1.3]. So those theorems hold as stated.

Proof. [Justification of a key step in the proof of Lemma 9.3] We now justify the claim that the proof of [Bo10c, Lemma 9.3] remains correct

Date: July 2, 2012.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37A35, 20E05.

Key words and phrases. Juzvinskii addition formula, f-entropy, Rokhlin-Abramov addition formula, finitely generated free groups.

when $\beta = \alpha^n$. Recall that K is a finite set and $G = \langle s_1, \ldots, s_r \rangle$ is a finitely generated free group or semi-group. In the group case, let $S = \{s_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, s_r^{\pm 1}\}$. In the semi-group case, let $S = \{s_1, \ldots, s_r\}$. Let $B(e,n) \subset G$ denote the ball of radius n centered at the identity element (with respect to the word metric). Let $L = K^{B(e,n)}$ and denote by $\{U_g\}_{g \in G}$, respectively $\{T_g\}_{g \in G}$, the G-shift action on L^G, respectively K^G. Let $\phi : K^G \to L^G$ be the map

$$\phi(x)(g)(f) = x(fg), \quad x \in K^G, g \in G, f \in B(e,n).$$

Let μ be a shift-invariant probability measure on K^G and let ν be the Markov measure on L^G induced from $\phi_* \mu$. Denote its support by $\mathrm{supp}(\nu) \subset L^G$. Recall that ν is the Markov process associated with the invariant transition system $\{P^s\}_{s \in S}$, where P^s is the stochastic matrix given by $P^s_{ij} = \phi_* \mu(L^{sg}_j | L^g_i)$, $i, j \in L$, $g \in G$, where $L^g_i = \{z \in L^G | \, z(g) = i\}$ (note these quantities do not depend on $g \in G$ by invariance of μ). Fixing $s \in S$, this implies ν is supported on the collection of all $z \in L^G$ such that if $z \in L^g_i \cap L^{sg}_j$ for some $i, j \in L, g \in G$ then $P^s_{ij} > 0$ and therefore $\mu(\phi^{-1}(L^g_i) \cap \phi^{-1}(L^{sg}_j)) > 0$. So there exists $y = y(z, g, s) \in K^G$ with $\phi(y)(g) = z(g)$ and $\phi(y)(sg) = z(sg)$. This is a *local* condition in the sense that $y \in K^G$ depends on $g \in G$ and $s \in S$. We will next show that one can find $y_z \in K^G$ satisfying the *global* condition $\phi(y_z) = z$. In other words we claim that $\mathrm{supp}(\nu) \subset \phi(K^G)$ (which implies that the proof of [Bo10c, Lemma 9.3] remains correct when $\beta = \alpha^n$).

To prove the claim, define $\psi : \mathrm{supp}(\nu) \to K^G$ by $\psi(z)(g) = z(g)(e)$. It suffices to show that $\phi\psi$ is the identity map on $\mathrm{supp}(\nu)$. Because ϕ and ψ are G-equivariant, it suffices to prove that $\phi(\psi(z))(e) = z(e)$ for any $z \in \mathrm{supp}(\nu)$. Equivalently, it suffices to show that for every $f \in B(e,n)$, $\phi(\psi(z))(e)(f) = z(e)(f)$ which, by definition of ϕ, is equivalent to $\psi(z)(f) = z(e)(f)$. By definition of ψ, this is equivalent to $z(f)(e) = z(e)(f)$.

So let $f \in B(e,n)$. Let us write $f = t_1 \cdots t_m$ where $t_i \in S$ and $m \leq n$ is the word length of f. Let $f_i = t_i \cdots t_m$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Also let $f_{m+1} = e$ the identity element. Let z_i be the map from $B(f_i, n) \triangleq B(e,n) f_i$, the (left) ball of radius n around f_i, to K defined by $z_i(g f_i) = z(f_i)(g)$ for $g \in B(e,n)$. As $f_{i-1} = t_{i-1} f_i$, it follows from the definition of $\mathrm{supp}(\nu)$ that there exists $y = y(f_i, t_{i-1}) \in K^G$ so that $z(f_i) = \phi(y)(f_i)$ and $z(f_{i-1}) = \phi(y)(f_{i-1})$. Conclude $z_i(g f_i) = y(g f_i)$ and $z_{i-1}(g f_{i-1}) = y(g f_{i-1})$ for $g \in B(e,n)$. We must therefore have that z_i and z_{i-1} agree on $B(f_i, n) \cap B(f_{i-1}, n)$ for $2 \leq i \leq m+1$. Therefore, z_1 and z_{m+1}

agree on the set $\bigcap_{i=1}^{m+1} B(f_i, n) \ni f$. So

$$z(f)(e) = z_1(f) = z_{m+1}(f) = z(e)(f)$$

as required.

□

3. Proposition 12.1

The proof of [Bo10c, Proposition 12.1] relies on the incorrect [Bo10c, Lemma 9.3]. Moreover, the statement is incorrect even when $G = \mathbb{Z}$ because of the next result.

Theorem 3.1. *There exists an ergodic automorphism $T \in \mathrm{Aut(X}, \mu)$ (where (X, μ) is a standard probability space), a finite generating partition α of X and an increasing sequence $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of finite partitions such that $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_n$ is the partition into points and $f_\mu(\alpha) = h_\mu(T) \neq \liminf_{n\to\infty} H_\mu(\mathcal{P}_n | T^{-1}\mathcal{P}_n) = \liminf_{n\to\infty} F_\mu(\mathcal{P}_n)$.*

Proof. Let $X = \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, μ the uniform probability on $\{0,1\}$: $\mu(0) = \mu(1) = \frac{1}{2}$, $\mathfrak{B}$ the Borel $\sigma-$algebra of X and $T : X \to X$ the shift $(Tx)_n = x_{n+1}$. Let $\mathcal{X} = (X, \mathfrak{B}, \mu^{\mathbb{Z}}, T)$ be the $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$-Bernoulli shift. We denote an element $x \in X$ as a sequence $x = \{x_n : \ n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Let $\alpha = \{C_0, C_1\}$ be a partition where $C_i = \{x \in X : \ x_0 = i\}$ for $i = 0, 1$. This is a finite generating partition. It is well-known that $h_\mu(T) = \log(2)$.

Let $\mathcal{P}_n = \bigvee\{T^j\alpha : \ |j| \leq n, j \neq n-1\}$. Clearly, $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_n$ is the partition into points. Observe that $T^{-1}\mathcal{P}_n \vee \mathcal{P}_n = \bigvee\{T^j\alpha : \ -n-1 \leq j \leq n\}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} H_\mu(\mathcal{P}_n | T^{-1}\mathcal{P}_n) &= H(T^{-1}\mathcal{P}_n \vee \mathcal{P}_n) - H(T^{-1}\mathcal{P}_n) \\ &= (2n+2)\log(2) - 2n\log(2) \\ &= 2\log(2) > \log(2) = h_\mu(T). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $f_\mu(\alpha) = h_\mu(T) \neq \liminf_{n\to\infty} H_\mu(\mathcal{P}_n | T^{-1}\mathcal{P}_n)$ as required. □

The proof of the addition theorem, [Bo10c, Theorem 13.1], relies on the incorrect [Bo10c, Proposition 12.1] (however, nothing else in [Bo10c] relies on this proposition). We conjecture that the statement of [Bo10c, Theorem 13.1] is correct. The proof also relies on [Bo10c, Theorem 13.2], a result which is assumed to follow from minor modifications of [Th71, Theorem 2.3]. It now appears that [Bo10c, Theorem 13.2] does not so follow and we do not know whether it remains true.

References

[Bo10c] L. Bowen. *Nonabelian free group actions: Markov processes, the Abramov-Rohlin formula and Yuzvinskii's formula*. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 30 (2010), no. 6, 1629–1663.

[BG] L. Bowen and Y. Gutman. *A Juzvinskiĭ Addition Theorem for finitely generated Free Group Actions*. Preprint. http://arxiv.org/abs/1110.5029.

[Th71] R. K. Thomas. *The addition theorem for the entropy of transformations of G-spaces*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 160 (1971), 119–130.

Lewis Bowen, Mathematics Department, Mailstop 3368, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843-3368 United States.

E-mail address: lpbowen@math.tamu.edu

Yonatan Gutman, Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques, Le Bois-Marie, 35 route de Chartres, 91440 Bures-sur-Yvette, France & Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, ul. Śniadeckich 8, 00-956 Warszawa, Poland.

E-mail address: yonatan@ihes.fr, y.gutman@impan.pl